arXiv:1607.06785v1 [math.CO] 22 Jul 2016

Linearly Embeddable Designs

Vladimir D. Tonchev*
Michigan Technological University
Houghton, Michigan 49931, USA

July 25, 2016

Abstract

A residual design $\mathcal{D}_B$ with respect to a block B of a given design $\mathcal{D}$ is defined to be linearly embeddable over $GF(p)$ if the p-ranks of the incidence matrices of $\mathcal{D}_B$ and $\mathcal{D}$ differ by one. A sufficient condition for a residual design to be linearly embeddable is proved in terms of the minimum distance of the linear code spanned by the incidence matrix, and this condition is used to show that the residual designs of several known infinite classes of designs are linearly embeddable. A necessary condition for linear embeddability is proved for affine resolvable designs and their residual designs. As an application, it is shown that a residual design of the classical affine design of the planes in $AG(3, 2^2)$ admits two nonisomorphic embeddings over $GF(2)$ that give rise to the only known counter-examples of Hamada's conjecture over a field of non-prime order.

Keywords: incidence matrix, residual design, affine resolvable design, linear code, p-rank.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05B05, 05B25, 51E20, 94B05.

1 Introduction

We assume familiarity with basic facts and notions from combinatorial design theory and coding theory ([1], [2], [3], [7], [13], [28], [29], [31]).

*Research supported by NSA Grant H98230-16-1-0011.

A combinatorial *design* (or an *incidence structure*) is a pair $\mathcal{D}=(X,\mathcal{B})$ of a finite set of *points* $X=\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{v}$ and a collection $\mathcal{B}=\{B_j\}_{j=1}^{b}$ of subsets $B_j\subseteq X$, called *blocks*. The point-by-block incidence matrix $A=(a_{i,j})$ of $\mathcal{D}=(X,\mathcal{B})$ is a v by b $(0,1)$-matrix with $a_{i,j}=1$ if $x_i\in B_j$, and $a_{i,j}=0$ otherwise.

An incidence structure is *simple* if X is a proper set consisting of v distinct points, and all blocks are distinct subsets of points, or equivalently, its incidence matrix does not have any identical rows or columns.

Given integers $v\geq k\geq t\geq 0$, $\lambda\geq 0$, a t-(v,k,λ) design (or briefly, a t-design) $\mathcal{D}$ is an incidence structure with v points and blocks of size k such that every t-subset of points is contained in exactly λ blocks. It follows that a t-(v,k,λ) is also an s-(v,k,λ_s) design for any $0\leq s\leq t$, where

$$\lambda_s=\frac{\binom{v-s}{t-s}}{\binom{k-s}{t-s}}.$$

In particular, the total number of blocks is given by

$$b=\lambda_0=\frac{\binom{v}{t}}{\binom{k}{t}}.$$

The number λ_1 of blocks containing a given point is often called the *replication number*, and is denoted by r.

The number of blocks b and the number of points v of any 2-(v,k,λ) design D with $v>k>0$ satisfy the following inequality, known as the Fisher inequality:

$$b\geq v, \tag{1}$$

where the equality $b=v$ holds if and only if every two distinct blocks of D share exactly λ points. A 2-(v,k,λ) design D with $b=v$ is called *symmetric*. If A is the incidence matrix of a symmetric 2-(v,k,λ) design D, then A^T is the incidence matrix of a symmetric design with the same parameters, called the *dual* of D.

Let $\mathcal{D}=(X,\mathcal{B})$ be design, and let $B\in\mathcal{B}$ be a block of $\mathcal{D}$. The incidence structure

$$\mathcal{D}^B=(X',\mathcal{B}'),$$

where

$$X'=B,\ \mathcal{B}'=\{B\cap B_j\mid B_j\in\mathcal{B},B_j\neq B\},$$

is called the *derived* design of $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to block B.

Accordingly, the incidence structure

$$\mathcal{D}_B=(X'',\mathcal{B}''),$$

where

$$X'' = X \setminus B,\ \mathcal{B}'' = \{B_j \setminus (B_j \cap B) \mid B_j \in \mathcal{B}, B_j \neq B\},$$

is called the *residual* design of $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to block B.

The main subject of this paper are incidence structures $\mathcal{D}$ with the property that for some prime number p, the p-ranks of the incidence matrices of $\mathcal{D}$ and some of its residual designs, $\mathcal{D}_B$, differ by one. We prove a sufficient condition, as well as some necessary conditions for an incidence structure to admit this property. As an application, we give an alternative construction of the 2-$(64, 16, 5)$ designs of 2-rank 16, being the only known counter-examples of Hamada's conjecture [8], [9] over a field of non-prime order [5], [10], [16], [32].

2 Linearly embeddable residual designs

Suppose that $\mathcal{D}$=$(X, \mathcal{B})$ is a design, and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is a block containing k points, $k > 1$. For convenience of notation, we assume that the points and blocks of $\mathcal{D}$ are labeled so that B is the last block, and consists of the first k points of X. Then the point-by-block $v \times b$ incidence matrix A of $\mathcal{D}$ can be written as in eq. (2), where A' is the $k \times (b-1)$ incidence matrix of the derived design $\mathcal{D}^B$, and A'' is the incidence matrix of the residual design $\mathcal{D}_B$.

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} & 1 \\ & \cdot \\ A' & \cdot \\ & \cdot \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & \cdot \\ A'' & \cdot \\ & \cdot \\ & 0 \end{array}\right), \tag{2}$$

It is obvious from (2) that

$$rank_p A \geq rank_p A'' + 1, \tag{3}$$

where $rank_p$ denotes the p-rank of the corresponding matrix, that is, its rank over a finite field $GF(p)$ of prime order p.

Definition 2.1 We say that a residual design $\mathcal{D}_B$ is *linearly embeddable* over $GF(p)$ if

$$rank_p A = rank_p A'' + 1. \tag{4}$$

The condition (4) implies that all rows of A belong to the linear code of length b over $GF(p)$, being the row span of a $(v-k+1) \times b$ matrix, consisting of the $v-k$ rows of A labeled by the points of $\mathcal{D}_B$, plus one extra row $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_b)$ from the row span of A such that $y_b \neq 0$ (for example, y can be any of the k rows of A labeled by a point of $\mathcal{D}^B$).

Clearly, the condition (4) is a strong requirement. For example, this condition does not hold if $rank_p A = v$ and $k \geq 2$.

The next theorem gives a sufficient condition for a residual design to be linearly embeddable.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $\mathcal{D}=(X, \mathcal{B})$ be a design with v points, b blocks, and a $v \times b$ incidence matrix A, and let C be the linear code of length v over $GF(p)$ spanned by the columns of A. If the minimum Hamming weight of C is d, then every residual design $\mathcal{D}_B$ with respect to a block of size d is linearly embeddable over $GF(p)$.*

Proof. Let $y \in C$ be a codeword of minimum weight d, such that the support of y (that is, the set of indices of its nonzero components) is a block B of $\mathcal{D}$. We consider the linear code C_y of length $v-d$ obtained from C by puncturing the d coordinates labeled by the support of y, or equivalently, by the points of B. Clearly, the dimension of C_y is equal to $rank_p A''$, where A'' is the incidence matrix of the residual design $\mathcal{D}_B$, while the dimension of C is equal to $rank_p A$.

In the terminology [11], and the notation of [13, Section 2.7., page 80], C_y is the residual code $Res(C, y)$ with respect to y. Since

$$d < \frac{p}{p-1} d,$$

it follows from a result by Hill and Newton [12, Lemma 2.13] (see also [13, Theorem 2.7.1, page 80]) that the dimension of C_y is smaller than the dimension of C by one, hence

$$rank_p A'' = rank_p A - 1,$$

which completes the proof. □

Next we give examples of 2-designs which satisfy the condition of Theorem 2.2, and consequently, have linearly embeddable residual designs.

A symmetric 2-design with parameters

$$2 - (2^{2m}, 2^{2m-1} - 2^{m-1}, 2^{2m-2} - 2^{m-1}) \tag{5}$$

has the *symmetric difference property*, and is called an SDP design (Kantor [19]) if the symmetric difference of any three blocks is either a block or a

complement of a block. The number of nonisomorphic SDP designs with parameters (5) grows exponentially with m [20].

Dillon and Schatz [6] proved the following characterization of symmetric SDP designs: a design with parameters (5) has the symmetric difference property if and only if its blocks are the supports of minimum weight codewords in a binary linear code of length 2^{2m} and dimension $2m+2$, spanned by a bent function on $2m$ variables and the first order Reed-Muller code of length 2^{2m}. This result and Theorem 2.2 imply the following.

Theorem 2.3 *The residual designs of a symmetric SDP design are linearly embeddable over $GF(2)$.*

A residual design of a symmetric SDP design D with parameters (5) is a 2-design with parameters

$$2-(2^{2m-1}+2^{m-1},2^{2m-2},2^{2m-2}-2^{m-1}), \tag{6}$$

and derived design of D has parameters

$$2-(2^{2m-1}-2^{m-1},2^{2m-2}-2^{m-1},2^{2m-2}-2^{m-1}-1). \tag{7}$$

The residual and derived designs of a symmetric SDP design have the property that the symmetric difference of any two blocks is either a block or a complement of block, and are called quasi-symmetric SDP designs (the term "quasi-symmetric" refers to the property that there are only two distinct block intersection numbers; see Shrikhande [26] for an introduction to quasi-symmetric designs). It was shown by Jungnickel and the author in [17] that the number of nonisomorphic quasi-symmetric SDP designs with parameters (6) or (7) grows exponentially with m.

Remark 2.4 It was proved by the author in [30] that any quasi-symmetric SDP design is uniquely embeddable as a residual or derived design in a symmetric SDP design. Combined with the result of Theorem 2.3, this implies that a residual design of a symmetric SDP design D is linearly embeddable in a unique (up to isomorphism) symmetric design, namely D. We will discuss some interesting linearly embeddable residual designs later in this paper, which can be linearly embedded in two nonisomorphic designs.

By the Dillon-Schatz theorem [6], the 2-rank of a symmetric SDP design with parameters (5) is $2m+2$, and consequently, the 2-rank of its residual or derived designs is equal to $2m+1$.

The binary linear code C' of length $2^{2m-1}-2^{m-1}$ spanned by the blocks of a quasi-symmetric SDP design with parameters (7) consists of the zero vector,

the all-one vector, the incidence vectors of the blocks (which are also the minimum weight codewords), and the incidence vectors of the complements of the blocks. Similarly, the binary linear code C'' of length $2^{2m-1} + 2^{m-1}$ spanned by the blocks of a quasi-symmetric SDP design with parameters (6) consists of the zero vector, the all-one vector, the incidence vectors of the blocks (which are also the minimum weight codewords), and the incidence vectors of the complements of the blocks. Thus, the Dillon-Schatz theorem [6] and Theorem 2.2 imply the following.

Theorem 2.5 *The residual design with respect to any block of a given quasi-symmetric SDP design is linearly embeddable over $GF(2)$.*

Other infinite classes of linearly embeddable designs over $GF(2)$ are the designs supported by the minimum weight codewords of Reed-Muller codes, or punctured Reed-Muller codes.

The codewords of minimum weight $d = 2^{m-r}$ of the Reed-Muller code of length 2^m and order r $(1 \leq r < m-1)$, form the block by point incidence matrix of a 3-design $\mathcal{D}$, which is linearly embeddable over $GF(2)$ by Theorem 2.2. In addition, puncturing one of the 2^m coordinates of the Reed-Muller code of length 2^m and order r gives a punctured code C' of length $2^m - 1$ and minimum distance $2^{m-r} - 1$. The minimum weight codewords of C' support a linearly embeddable 2-design by Theorem 2.2.

The linearly embeddable designs supported by the binary Reed-Muller codes and punctured Reed-Muller codes are special classes of designs based on finite geometry. Let $q = p^t$, p - prime, $t \geq 1$. The d-dimensional subspaces of the n-dimensional projective geometry $PG(n, q)$ over $GF(q)$, are the blocks of a 2-design, denoted by $PG_d(n, q)$, with parameters

$$2 - \left(\frac{q^{n+1}-1}{q-1}, \frac{q^{d+1}-1}{q-1}, \frac{(q^{n+1}-q^2)(q^{n+1}-q^3)\cdots(q^{n+1}-q^d)}{(q^{d+1}-q^2)(q^{d+1}-q^3)\cdots(q^{d+1}-q^d)} \right)$$

Similarly, the d-subspaces of the n-dimensional affine geometry $AG(n, q)$ over $GF(q)$ are the blocks of a 2-design, denoted by$AG_d(n, q)$, with parameters

$$2 - \left(q^n, q^d, \frac{(q^n-q)(q^n-q^2)\cdots(q^n-q^{d-1})}{(q^d-q)(q^d-q^2)\cdots(q^d-q^{d-1})} \right)$$

If $q = 2$, $AG_d(n, 2)$ is also a 3-$(2^n, 2^d, \lambda_3)$ design with

$$\lambda_3 = \frac{(2^n-2^2)\cdots(2^n-2^{d-1})}{(2^d-2^2)\cdots(2^d-2^{d-1})}.$$

The incidence vectors of the blocks of $PG_d(n,q)$ are codewords of minimum weight of the $GF(p)$-subfield subcode of a nonprimitive generalized Reed-Muller code over $GF(q)$ [1, 5.7], [3, Chapter 2]. Similarly, the blocks of $AG_d(n,q)$ are supported by minimum weight codewords in the code over $GF(p)$ spanned by the incidence vectors of the blocks [1, Corollary 5.5.5, page 166]. Thus, by Theorem 2.2, we have

Theorem 2.6 *The residual designs of $PG_d(n,p^t)$ and $AG_d(n,p^t)$ are linearly embeddable over $GF(p)$.*

3 Residual designs of affine resolvable designs

The Fisher inequality (1) for a 2-(v,k,λ) design with $v > k > 0$ can be strengthened when v is a multiple of k, $v = qk$, as follows:

$$b \geq v + r - 1, \tag{8}$$

where $r = (v-1)\lambda/(k-1)$ is the replication number. Suppose that $\mathcal{D}$ is a 2-(qk,k,λ) design with $q > 1$ and $k > 0$. Any set of q pairwise disjoint blocks is called a *parallel class*. A *resolution* of $\mathcal{D}$ is a partition of the collection of blocks into r disjoint parallel classes. A design is *resolvable* if it admits at least one resolution.

The parameters of a resolvable 2-design satisfy the inequality (8). In addition, a 2-(qk,k,λ) design with $b = v + r - 1$ is resolvable if and only if the number

$$\mu = \frac{k}{q} = \frac{k^2}{v}$$

is an integer, and every two blocks are either disjoint or share exactly μ points (cf. Bose [4, Theorem 1.6.1], or [31, Theorem 2.3.3]).

A resolvable 2-(qk,k,λ) design with $b = v + r - 1$ blocks is called *affine resolvable*. An affine resolvable design admits only one resolution, and its parameters can be written as

$$v = q^2\mu,\ k = q\mu,\ \lambda = \frac{q\mu - 1}{q-1}. \tag{9}$$

If B is a block of an affine resolvable 2-$(q^2\mu, q\mu, \frac{q\mu-1}{q-1})$ design $\mathcal{D}$, the derived design $\mathcal{D}^B$ is a 2-$(q\mu, \mu, \frac{q\mu-1}{q-1} - 1)$ design (here we do not consider the empty intersections of the $q-1$ blocks from the parallel class of B as blocks of the derived design $\mathcal{D}^B$).

Any affine geometry design $AG_d(n,q)$, $1 \leq d \leq n-1$, is resolvable: one resolution has as parallel classes the collections of cosets of the affine d-subspaces through the origin.

The number of nonisomorphic resolvable designs having the same parameters as $A_d(n,q)$, $3 \leq d \leq n-1$, grows exponentially (Jungnickel [15], Lam, Lam and Tonchev [22]).

If $d = n-1 \geq 1$, $A_{n-1}(d,q)$ is an affine resolvable 2-$(q^n, q^{n-1}, \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{q-1})$ design. If B is a block of $\mathcal{D}$=$AG_{n-1}(n,q)$, there are $q-1$ blocks parallel to B, and every other block intersects B in a $(n-2)$-subspace of $AG(n,q)$. The non-empty intersections of B with other blocks of $\mathcal{D}$ form a 2-design $\mathcal{D}'$ with point set B and parameters

$$v' = q^{n-1},\ k' = q^{n-2},\ \lambda' = \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{q-1} - 1 = \frac{q(q^{n-2}-1)}{q-1},\ b' = \frac{q^2(q^{n-1}-1)}{q-1}.$$

The design $\mathcal{D}'$ is not simple: its collection of blocks is a multi-set, where every block appears with multiplicity q. A set S of distinct representatives of the blocks of $\mathcal{D}'$ consists of all $(n-2)$-subspaces of $AG(n-1,q)$, where the points of $AG(n-1,q)$ are identified with the points of B. Thus, S is a simple 2-$(q^{n-1}, q^{n-2}, \frac{q^{n-2}-1}{q-1})$ design isomorphic to $AG_{n-2}(n-1,q)$.

The residual design $\mathcal{D}_B$ has $q-1$ blocks of size q^{n-1} (these are blocks from the parallel class of $\mathcal{D}$ that contains B), while the remaining

$$\frac{q(q^n-1)}{(q-1)} - q$$

blocks of $\mathcal{D}_B$ are of size $q^{n-1} - q^{n-2}$.

Let $\mathcal{D}''$ be the substructure of $\mathcal{D}_B$ consisting of all blocks of size $q^{n-1} - q^{n-2}$. Since every two non-parallel blocks of $\mathcal{D}$ meet in $\mu = q^{n-2}$ points, each set of q identical blocks of $\mathcal{D}'$ corresponds to a parallel class of q pairwise disjoint blocks of $\mathcal{D}''$. In this way, we obtain a resolution R of $\mathcal{D}''$, in which the parallel classes are labeled by the blocks of S.

This construction can be applied to residual designs of other affine resolvable designs having the parameters of $AG_{n-1}(n,d)$, provided that there is a block satisfying the condition of the following definition.

Definition 3.1 A block B of an affine resolvable 2-$(q^n, q^{n-1}, \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{q-1})$ design $\mathcal{D}$ is called a *good* block if the nonempty intersections of B with the remaining blocks form a 2-$(q^{n-1}, q^{n-2}, \frac{q(q^{n-2}-1)}{q-1})$ design $\mathcal{D}'$, whose collection of blocks is a union of q identical copies of the block set of a simple 2-$(q^{n-1}, q^{n-2}, \frac{q^{n-2}-1}{q-1})$ design S.

Remark 3.2 The above definition of a good block concerns a special case of a more general concept introduced by Kimberley [21] and used by Kantor [18] (see Beth, Jungnickel and Lenz [2, XII.5]) for further references).

We note that by the inequality of Mann [23], [31, Theorem 1.1.5, page 6], every 2-$(q^{n-1}, q^{n-2}, \frac{q^{n-2}-1}{q-1})$ design is simple.

Clearly, any good block B defines a resolution of the subdesign $\mathcal{D}''$ of the residual design $\mathcal{D}_B$, consisting of the blocks of size $q^{n-1} - q^{n-2}$.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be an affine resolvable 2-$(q^n, q^{n-1}, (q^{n-1}-1)/(q-1))$ design, $(n \geq 2)$, with a good block B, where $q = p^t$, p is prime, and $q \geq 4$. If the residual design $\mathcal{D}_B$ is linearly embeddable over $GF(p)$, then the linear code over $GF(p)$ of length $q^2(q^{n-1}-1)/(q-1)$, spanned by the rows of the incidence matrix M'' of the substructure $\mathcal{D}''$ of $\mathcal{D}_B$ consisting of all blocks of size $q^{n-1} - q^{n-2}$, contains at least $(p-1)\binom{q^{n-1}}{2}$ codewords of weight $2q^{n-1}$, whose supports are unions of parallel classes of the resolution R of $\mathcal{D}''$ defined by B.*

Proof. For convenience of notation, we assume that the points and blocks of $\mathcal{D}$ are labeled so that B is the last block and consists of the first q^{n-1} points. Then the point-by-block incidence matrix A of $\mathcal{D}$ is given by (2), where A' is the incidence matrix of the derived design $\mathcal{D}^B$, and A'' is the incidence matrix of the residual design $\mathcal{D}_B$. Let

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ & \cdot \\ A' & \cdot \\ & \cdot \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} & 0 \\ & \cdot \\ A'' & \cdot \\ & \cdot \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

The matrix A' contains $q-1$ all-zero columns that correspond to the blocks of $\mathcal{D}$ parallel to B. We denote by M' the submatrix of all nonzero columns of A'.

Since $\mathcal{D}_B$ is linearly embeddable over $GF(p)$, we have

$$rank_p A_2 = rank_p A - 1,$$

which implies that the vector space L_2 being the span of the rows of A_2 over $GF(p)$, coincides with the subspace of co-dimension 1 of the row span L of A, consisting of all vectors in L having last coordinate equal to zero. It follows that the difference of any two rows of A_1 belongs to L_2, and consequently, the difference of any two rows of M' belongs to the rows space of M''.

The set of the

$$r' = q\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{q-1}$$

nonzero positions of any row of M' corresponds to a union of $(q^{n-1}-1)/(q-1)$ parallel classes of the resolution R. Every two distinct rows of M' overlap in a set T of

$$\lambda' = q\frac{q^{n-2}-1}{q-1}$$

nonzero positions, where T corresponds to a union of $(q^{n-2}-1)/(q-1)$ parallel classes of R. Thus, the difference of every two distinct rows of M' is a vector of Hamming weight

$$2(r'-\lambda') = 2q^{n-1},$$

belonging to the row space of M'', whose support is a union of $2q^{n-2}$ parallel classes of R.

We will show that the differences of different pairs of distinct rows of A' are distinct vectors of weight $2q^{n-2}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{r_1, r_2\}$, $(r_1 \neq r_2)$, $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{r_3, r_4\}$, $(r_3 \neq r_4)$, be two distinct unordered pairs of rows. Fist, suppose that $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{P}_2$ share one row. If $r_1 = r_3$ then

$$r_1 - r_2 = r_3 - r_4 \tag{11}$$

implies $r_2 = r_4$ and $\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{P}_2$, a contradiction. If $r_1 = r_4$ then $r_2 \neq r_3$, and equation (11) implies $2r_1 = r_2 + r_3$, which is impossible due to the Hamming weights of the rows and the size of the overlap of their supports. Hence, if the pairs $\mathcal{P}_1$, $\mathcal{P}_2$ comprise of three distinct rows of A', we have

$$r_1 - r_2 \neq r_3 - r_4. \tag{12}$$

Suppose now that r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 are four distinct rows of A' which satisfy the equation (11). Let C be the linear code of length q^{n-1} over $GF(p)$, being the null space of the column space of A'. The equation (11) implies that C contains a codeword of weight 4 with support labeled by the four rows, thus, the minimum weight of C is at most 4. We will show, however, that if $q \geq 4$, the minimum weight d of C is at least 5.

Let M'_S be a submatrix of M' consisting of $q(q^{n-1}-1)/(q-1)$ distinct columns of M', that is, M'_S is an incidence matrix of the simple 2-$(q^{n-1}, q^{n-2}, \frac{q^{n-2}-1}{q-1})$ subdesign S of $\mathcal{D}'$.

By Rudolph's theorem [25], [31, Theorem 2.7.3], the code C can correct up to

$$e = \lfloor \frac{r+\lambda-1}{2\lambda} \rfloor$$

errors by a majority-logic decoding algorithm using the columns of M'_S, where

$$r = \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{q-1}$$

is the replication number of S, and

$$\lambda = \frac{q^{n-2}-1}{q-1}$$

is the number of blocks through a pair of points.

We have

$$\frac{r+\lambda-1}{2\lambda} \geq \frac{r}{2\lambda} = \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{2(q^{n-2}-1)} \geq 2,$$

provided that $q \geq 4$ and $n \geq 2$. Thus, the code C can correct at least 2 errors, which implies that the weight of any nonzero codeword of C is greater than or equal to 5.

Hence, the differences of pairs of rows of A' are all different codewords from the row space of M'', each of weight $2q^{n-1}$, and having a support being a union of parallel classes of R. Taking into account the $p-1$ nonzero scalar multiples of each such codeword gives a set of $(p-1)\binom{q^{n-1}}{2}$ distinct codewords with the required property. This completes the proof. □

4 Residual designs of $AG_2(3,4)$

The smallest parameters n, q that satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.3 are $n = 2$ and $q = 4$. Any residual design of the 2-$(64, 16, 5)$ design $\mathcal{D}$=$AG_2(3,4)$ is linearly embeddable over $GF(2)$ by Theorem 2.6. The 84 blocks of $\mathcal{D}$ are the planes in $AG(3,4)$, and all blocks are in one orbit under the collineation group of $AG_2(3,4)$, being of order

$$2 \cdot 4^3(4^3-1)(4^3-4)(4^3-4^2) = 23,224,320 = 2^{13} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7.$$

Thus, all residual designs of $\mathcal{D}$ are isomorphic. By Hamada's formula [8], the 2-rank of $AG_2(3,4)$ is 16.

Let B be a block of $\mathcal{D}$. Our goal is to determine if $\mathcal{D}_B = (AG_2(3,4))_B$ can be embedded linearly over $GF(2)$ as a residual design with respect to a good block into any other affine resolvable 2-$(64, 16, 5)$ design $\mathcal{E}$ which is not isomorphic to $AG_2(3,4)$.

The weight distribution of the binary linear code of length 80 and dimension 15 being the row space of the 48×80 incidence matrix M'' of the substructure $\mathcal{D}''$ of the residual design $\mathcal{D}_B$ consisting of all blocks of size 12, is given in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1

i	20	30	32	34	36	38	40	...	48	50	52	64
A_i	48	768	610	1280	6240	7680	2880	...	600	256	240	5

The design $\mathcal{D}''$ has 40 parallel classes and 32 resolutions. The automorphism group of $\mathcal{D}''$ is of order $552,960$, and partitions the set of 32 resolutions in three orbits of lengths 2, 10, and 20, respectively. The resolution R whose parallel classes are labeled by the blocks of the 2-$(16, 4, 1)$ subdesign of $\mathcal{D}^B$ is one of the resolutions in the orbit of length 2, the second one being the resolution induced by the unique resolution of $\mathcal{D}$.

It is easy to verify that among the 610 codewords of weight 32 (cf. Table 4.1), there are 130 codewords whose supports are unions of 8 parallel classes from a resolution from the orbit of length 2, 34 such codewords with respect to a resolution from the orbit of length 10, and 10 codewords with respect to a resolution from the orbit of length 20. By Theorem 3.3, this implies that one can have a linear embedding only with respect to a resolution from the orbit of length 2. Thus, it is sufficient to consider linear embeddings with respect to the resolution R.

To search for such linear embeddings, we extend the 48×80 incidence matrix of $\mathcal{D}''$ by four columns: three columns of weight 16, being the incidence vectors of the three blocks of $\mathcal{D}$ parallel to B, plus one all-zero column. Following the notation of Theorem 3.3, we denote the resulting 48×84 matrix by A_2 (as in (10)).

The rows of the 64×84 incidence matrix of any affine resolvable 2-$(64, 16, 5)$ design $\mathcal{E}$ with a good block B such that the residual design $\mathcal{E}_B$ coincides with $\mathcal{D}_B{=}(AG_2(3, 4))_B$, are codewords of weight 21 in a binary linear code spanned by the rows of A_2 and one additional row $y = (y_1, \cdots, y_{84})$, where $y_{84} = 1$ and the remaining 20 nonzero positions of y are labeled by the blocks of 5 parallel classes from the resolution R of $\mathcal{D}''$. In other words, y is a row of the 16×84 matrix A_1 (cf. (10)), labeled by a point of B. Without loss of generality, we can fix one of the five parallel classes associated with the support of y. A computer check shows that among the

$$\binom{19}{4} = 3876$$

choices for the remaining 4 parallel classes associated with the support of y, only 16 lead to a code of length 84 and dimension 16 that contains sufficiently many codewords of weight 21 to form the incidence matrix of a 2-$(64, 16, 5)$ design, and each of these 16 codes does contain the incidence matrix of an affine resolvable 2-$(64, 16, 5)$ design. Further comparison shows that the set

of 16 designs obtained from the 16 codes contain two isomorphism classes of designs: four designs are isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}$=$AG_2(3,4)$ and 12 designs are isomorphic to an affine resolvable 2-$(64,16,5)$ design $\mathcal{E}_1$ having full automorphism group of order 92,160. The design $\mathcal{E}_1$ is isomorphic to the affine resolvable design with these parameters found by Harada, Lam, and the author as the design supported by minimum weight codewords of symmetric net No. 20 in [10].

The automorphism group of $\mathcal{E}_1$ partitions its blocks into three orbits, of length 1, 3, and 80 respectively. The blocks from the orbit of length 80 are not good (in the sense of Definition 3.1), while the blocks from the orbits of length one and three are good.

The residual design of $\mathcal{E}_1$ with respect to the fixed block (orbit of length 1), is isomorphic to a residual design of $AG_2(3,4)$, hence it admits two non-isomorphic linear embeddings: one in $AG_2(3,4)$, and another in $\mathcal{E}_1$.

A residual design of $\mathcal{E}_1$ with respect to a good block B' from the orbit of length three is not isomorphic to a residual design of $AG_2(3,4)$. Its subdesign ${\mathcal{E}_1}''$ consisting of all blocks of size 12 also has 32 resolutions, which split into three orbits of lengths 2, 10, and 20. The weight distribution of the binary linear $[80,15]$ codes spanned by the incidence matrix of ${\mathcal{E}_1}''$ is given in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2

i	20	30	32	36	38	40	44	46	48	52	64
A_i	48	1024	610	6240	10240	2880	5760	5120	600	240	5

We note that although the weight distribution in Table 4.2 is different from that in Table 4.1, the number of codewords of weight 32 is again 610. Among these 610 codewords, there are 130 codewords whose support is labeled by the blocks of a union of parallel classes of a resolution form the orbit of length 2. The number of codewords of weight 32 whose support is labeled by a union of parallel classes of a resolution from the orbit of length 10 or 20 is smaller than

$$\binom{16}{2} = 120.$$

Following the procedure that we used for finding linear embeddings of the residual design of $AG_2(3,4)$, an examination of the possible choices for an additional row y of weight 21 corresponding to a resolution of length 2, establishes that in addition to $\mathcal{E}_1$, a residual design of $\mathcal{E}_1$ with respect to a good block B' from the orbit of length three can be embedded in an affine resolvable 2-$(64,16,5)$ design $\mathcal{E}_2$ with full automorphism group of order 368,640, hence this design is not isomorphic to $AG_2(3,4)$ or $\mathcal{E}_1$.

The design $\mathcal{E}_2$ is isomorphic to the design with the same parameters arising from net No. 36 in [10], as well as to the design arising from a special spread of lines in $PG(5,2)$ found by Mavron, McDonough and the author [24].

The automorphism group of $\mathcal{E}_2$ partitions its blocks into two orbits, of length 80 and 4, respectively. The blocks from the long orbit are not good, while the blocks from the orbit of length 4 are good. A residual design of $\mathcal{E}_2$ with respect to a good block is isomorphic to a residual design of $\mathcal{E}_1$ (with respect to a block from the orbit of length three), hence it has two nonisomorphic linear embeddings, in $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$.

The next theorem summarizes these results.

Theorem 4.3 *(i) A residual design of $AG_2(3,4)$ admits exactly two nonisomorphic linear embeddings over $GF(2)$: one in $AG_2(3,4)$, and a second one in an affine resolvable 2-$(64,16,5)$ design $\mathcal{E}_1$ of 2-rank 16 and having full automorphism group of order 92,160, which is isomorphic to the affine resolvable design with these parameters arising from net No. 20 in [10].*
(ii) The design $\mathcal{E}_1$ has two types of good blocks. A residual design of $\mathcal{E}_1$ with respect to a good block of the first type is linearly embeddable over $GF(2)$ in either $\mathcal{E}_1$ or in $AG_2(3,4)$. A residual design of $\mathcal{E}_1$ with respect to a good block of the second type is linearly embeddable over $GF(2)$ in either $\mathcal{E}_1$, or in an affine resolvable 2-$(64,16,5)$ design $\mathcal{E}_2$ of 2-rank 16 and having full automorphism group of order $368,640$, which is isomorphic to the design with the same parameters arising from net No. 36 in [10], as well as to the design arising from a special spread in $PG(5,2)$ [24].
(iii) A residual design of $\mathcal{E}_2$ with respect to a good block is linearly embeddable over $GF(2)$ in either $\mathcal{E}_2$ or $\mathcal{E}_1$.

Remark 4.4 Hamada's conjecture [8], [9] states that the p-rank of a design $\mathcal{D}$ having the same parameters as $PG_d(n,p^t)$ or $AG_d(n,p^t)$, is greater than or equal to the p-rank of $PG_d(n,p^t)$ or $AG_d(n,p^t)$ respectively, with equality if and only if $\mathcal{D}$ is isomorphic to $PG_d(n,p^t)$ or $AG_d(n,p^t)$. The p-ranks of $PG_d(n,p^t)$ and $AG_d(n,p^t)$, where p a prime, $t \geq 1$, and $n > d \geq 1$, were computed by Hamada [8]. Two affine resolvable 2-$(64,16,5)$ designs, having the same parameters and the same 2-rank 16 as $AG_2(3,4)$, isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ respectively, were found originally by Harada, Lam and the author [10] as designs supported by minimum weight codewords in binary linear codes of length 64 and dimension 16, spanned by the 64×64 incidence matrices of resolvable 1-$(64,16,16)$ designs whose dual designs are also resolvable, (or symmetric $(4,4)$-nets, in the terminology of [10] and [2]). These two designs are the only known counter-examples to the "only-if" part of Hamada's conjecture over a field of non-prime order [5], [16], [32].

In view of Theorem 4.3, it will be interesting to know if a residual design of $AG_{n-1}(n,4)$ admits more than one nonisomorphic linear embeddings over $GF(2)$ in affine resolvable designs having the parameters of $AG_{n-1}(n,4)$, for any value of n greater than 3.

If $n=4$, the substructure $\mathcal{D}''$ of a a residual design $\mathcal{D}_B$ with respect to a block B of $AG_3(4,4)$ consisting of all blocks of size 48, has 168 parallel classes and 2,097,152 resolutions.

By Hamada's formula [8], the 2-rank of $AG_3(4,4)$ is 25. The binary linear code of length 336 and dimension 24 spanned by the rows of the incidence matrix M'' of $\mathcal{D}''$ contains 10,290 codewords of weight 128, of which 2,226 codewords have supports being a union of 32 parallel classes of the resolution of $\mathcal{D}''$ defined by the blocks of the derived design $\mathcal{D}^B$. Since

$$2,226 > \binom{64}{2} = 2016,$$

a residual design of $AG_3(4,4)$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 3.3 with strict inequality, and may have more than one linear embedding over $GF(2)$. However, finding all such embeddings by using the procedure applied to a residual design of $AG_2(3,4)$ seems to be computationally infeasible. One possible way to reduce the computations and make the problem problem manageable is by restricting the search to linear embeddings which are invariant under a sufficiently large subgroup of the automorphism group of a residual design of $AG_3(4,4)$.

5 Affine resolvable designs as residual designs

A 2-(v,k,λ) design with replication number r is called *quasi-residual* if it has the parameters of a residual design of a symmetric 2-(v',k',λ') design with

$$v' = v + r,\ k' = r,\ \lambda' = \lambda,$$

or equivalently, if

$$r = k + \lambda.$$

By this definition, every affine resolvable 2-$(q^2\mu, q\mu, \frac{q\mu-1}{q-1})$ design is quasi-residual, and the parameters of a corresponding symmetric 2-design are

$$v' = \frac{q^3\mu - 1}{q-1},\ k' = \frac{q^2\mu - 1}{q-1},\ \lambda' = \frac{q\mu - 1}{q-1}. \tag{13}$$

An affine resolvable 2-$(q^2\mu, qm, \frac{q\mu-1}{q-1})$ design $\mathcal{D}$ is the residual design of a symmetric 2-design $\mathcal{D}_1$ with parameters (13) if and only if there exists a

symmetric 2-$(\frac{q^2\mu-1}{q-1}, \frac{q\mu-1}{q-1}, \frac{\mu-1}{q-1})$ design $\mathcal{D}_0$ (S. S. Shrikhande [27], [14, Corollary 5.4.9, page 178]). A symmetric design with parameters (13) having $\mathcal{D}$ as a residual design is obtained by adding a block B consisting of $(q^2\mu-1)/(q-1)$ new points, being the points of $\mathcal{D}_0$, choosing a bijection ϕ between the parallel classes of $\mathcal{D}$ and the blocks of $\mathcal{D}_0$, and extending the q blocks from any parallel class P with the points of the block $\phi(P)$ of $\mathcal{D}_0$. By this construction, the derived design of $\mathcal{D}_1$ with respect to the block B is a 2-$(\frac{q^2\mu-1}{q-1}, \frac{q\mu-1}{q-1}, q\frac{\mu-1}{q-1})$ design, being a union of q identical copies of $\mathcal{D}_0$. In the terminology of [14], $\mathcal{D}_0$ is a *normal* subdesign of $\mathcal{D}_1$.

Definition 5.1 We call a block B of a symmetric 2-design $\mathcal{D}_1$ with parameters (13) *normal* (cf. [2, XII.5]) if the derived design of $\mathcal{D}_1$ with respect to B is a union of q identical copies of a symmetric 2-$(\frac{q^2\mu-1}{q-1}, \frac{q\mu-1}{q-1}, q\frac{\mu-1}{q-1})$ design.

An example of a symmetric design with all blocks being normal is $PG_{n-1}(n, q)$, $n \geq 3$. In this case, any derived design is a union of q identical copies of a design isomorphic to $PG_{n-2}(n-1, q)$, and any residual design is isomorphic to $AG_{n-1}(n, q)$.

Lemma 5.2 *Let $q = p^t$, where p is a prime, and let $\mathcal{D}$ be an affine resolvable 2-$(q^n, q^{n-1}, (q^{n-1}-1)/(q-1))$ design with $n \geq 2$ and a point by block incidence matrix A. The design $\mathcal{D}$ is linearly embeddable over $GF(p)$ as a residual design in a symmetric 2-$((q^{n+1}-1)/(q-1), (q^n-1)/(q-1), (q^{n-1}/(q-1))$ design $\mathcal{D}_1$ if and only if the rows of a point by block incidence matrix A_1 of $\mathcal{D}_1$ are codewords in the linear code over $GF(p)$ being the row space of the matrix (14).*

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & & 0 \\ & & & \cdot \\ & A & & \cdot \\ & & & \cdot \\ 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

Proof. Since every column of A_1 contains

$$\frac{q^n-1}{q-1} = q^{n-1} + \ldots + q + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p},$$

the rows space of A_1 contains the all-one vector $(1, 1, \ldots 1)$, which is also the last row of (14). □

We now apply Lemma 5.2 to the affine resolvable 2-$(64, 16, 5)$ designs $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ of 2-rank 16 discussed in Section 4. If A is the incidence matrix of $\mathcal{E}_1$ or $\mathcal{E}_2$, then the row space of (14) over $GF(2)$ is a binary linear code of length 85 and dimension 17 which contains exactly 69 codewords (thus, less that 85) of weight 21. This implies the following.

Theorem 5.3 *The affine resolvable 2-*$(64, 16, 5)$ *designs* $\mathcal{E}_1$ *and* $\mathcal{E}_2$ *do not admit any linear embedding over* $GF(2)$ *in a symmetric 2-*$(85, 21, 5)$ *design. Consequently, any symmetric 2-*$(85, 21, 5)$ *design having* $\mathcal{E}_1$ *or* $\mathcal{E}_2$ *as a residual design, must have 2-rank greater that 17, which is the 2-rank of* $PG_2(3, 4)$*.*

Theorem 5.4 *Let* $q = p^t$*, where* p *is a prime. The classical affine resolvable design* $AG_{n-1}(n, 2)$*,* $n \geq 2$*, admits a unique linear embedding over* $GF(p)$ *in* $PG_{n-1}(n, q)$*.*

Proof. The statement follows from Lemma 5.2, Theorem 2.6, and the fact that the p-ary code spanned by an incidence matrix of $PG_{n-1}(n, p^t)$ is of minimum weight $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$, and by the restricted Johnson bound [13, 2.3.1], [31, 2.4.2] , this code cannot contain more than $(q^{n+1} - 1)/(q - 1)$ $(0, 1)$-codewords of minimum weight. □

The following statement is an analogue of Theorem 3.3 and gives a necessary condition for linear embeddability of affine resolvable designs.

Theorem 5.5 *Let* $\mathcal{D}$ *be an affine resolvable 2-*$(q^n, q^{n-1}, (q^{n-1} - 1)/(q - 1))$ *design,* $n \geq 2$*, where* $q = p^t \geq 4$*, and* p *is a prime. If* $\mathcal{D}$ *is linearly embeddable over* $GF(p)$ *as a residual design in a symmetric 2-*$((q^{n+1} - 1)/(q - 1), (q^n - 1)/(q - 1), (q^{n-1} - 1)/(q - 1))$ *design with respect to a normal block, then the linear code over* $GF(p)$ *spanned by the rows of the point-by-block incidence matrix of* $\mathcal{D}$ *contains at least*

$$(p - 1)\binom{\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}}{2}$$

codewords of weight $2q^{q-1}$ *whose supports are unions of parallel classes of* $\mathcal{D}$*.*

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.3, so we omit it.

A quick computer check shows that the binary code of length 84 and dimension 16 spanned by the incidence matrix of $AG_2(3, 4)$ contains exactly

$$210 = \binom{21}{2}$$

codewords of weight 32 whose supports are unions of parallel classes, while the binary codes spanned by the incidence matrices of the affine resolvable 2-$(64, 16, 5)$ designs $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ contain only 130 such codewords. This provides another proof of Theorem 5.3.

6 Acknowledgments

The author thanks Dieter Jungnickel for reading a preliminary version of this paper and making several useful remarks. This research was supported by NSA Grant H98230-16-1-0011.

References

[1] E. F. Assmus, Jr., J. D. Key, *Designs and their codes*, Cambridge, 1992.

[2] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, H. Lenz, *Design theory (2nd edition)*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.

[3] I. F. Blake and R. C. Mullin, *An introduction to algebraic and combinatorial coding theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1976.

[4] R. C. Bose, *Graphs and Designs*, Edizioni Cremonese, Rome, 1973.

[5] D. Clark, D. Jungnickel, and V.D. Tonchev, Affine geometry designs, polarities, and Hamada's conjecture, *J. Combin. Theory*, Ser. A**118** (2011), 231-239.

[6] J. F. Dillon and J. R. Schatz, Block designs with the symmetric difference property, in: Proc. NSA Mathematical Sciences Meetings, R. L. Ward, ed., U.S. Govt. Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1987, pp. 159-164.

[7] M. Hall, Jr., *Combinatorial Theory*, Wiley, New York, 1986.

[8] N. Hamada, On the p-rank of the incidence matrix of a balanced or partially balanced incomplete block design and its application to error-correcting codes, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **3** (1973), 153-226.

[9] N. Hamada, On the geometric structure and p-rank of affine triple system derived from a nonassociative Moufang Loop with the maximum associative center, *J. Combin. Theory*, A **30** (1981), 285-297.

[10] M. Harada, C. Lam, and V.D. Tonchev, Symmetric $(4, 4)$-nets and generalized Hadamard matrices over groups of order 4, *Designs, Codes, and Cryptography* **34** (2005), 71-87.

[11] H. J. Helgert and R. D. Stinaff, Minimum distance bounds for binary linear codes, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, **IT-19** (1973), 344-356.

[12] R. Hill and D. E. Newton, Optimal ternary codes, *Designs, Codes, and Cryptography*, **2** (1992), 137-157.

[13] W. Cary Huffman and Vera Pless, *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[14] Y. J. Ionin and M. S. Shrikhande, *Combinatorics of Symmetric Designs*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[15] D. Jungnickel, The number of designs with classical parameters grows exponentially, *Geometria Dedicata*, **16** (1984), 167-178.

[16] D. Jungnickel and V. D. Tonchev, Polarities, quasi-symmetric designs, and Hamada's conjecture, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, **51** (2009), 131-140.

[17] D. Jungnickel and V. D. Tonchev, Exponential number of quasi-symmetric SDP designs and codes meeting the Grey-Rankin bound, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, **1** (1991), 247-253.

[18] W. M. Kantor, Characterizations of finite projective and affine spaces, *Can. J. Math.* **21** (1969), 64-75.

[19] W. M. Kantor, Symplectic groups, symmetric designs and line ovals, *J. Algebra*, **33** (1975), 43-58.

[20] W. M. Kantor, Exponential numbers of two-weight codes, difference sets and symmetric designs, *Discrete Math.*, **46** (1983), 95-98.

[21] M. E. Kimberley, On the construction of certain Hadamard designs, *Math. Z.* **119** (1971), 41 - 59.

[22] C. Lam, S. Lam, and V. D. Tonchev, Bounds on the number of affine, symmetric, and Hadamard designs and matrices, *J. Combin. Theory*, Ser. A **92** (2000), 186-196.

[23] H. B. Mann, A note on balanced incomplete block designs, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **40** (1969), 679-680.

[24] V. C. Mavron, T. P. McDonough, V. D. Tonchev, On affine designs and Hadamard designs with line spreads, *Discrete Math.*, **308** (2008), 2742-2750.

[25] L. D. Rudolph, A class of Majority-logic decodable codes, *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory*, *13* (1967), 305-307.

[26] M. S. Shrikhande, Quasi-symmetric designs, in: *Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, Second Edition, C. J. Colbourn, J. F. Dinitz, eds., Chapman & Hall/ CRC, Boca Raton - London - New York, 2007, pp. 578 -582.

[27] S. S. Shrikhande, On the non-existence of affine resolvable balanced incomplete block designs, *Sankhya*,**11** (1951), 185-186.

[28] V. D. Tonchev, *Codes and Designs*, Chapter 15, in: *Handbook of Coding Theory, Volume II*, V. S. Pless and W. C. Huffman, eds., Elsevier, New York, 1998, pp 1229 - 1268.

[29] V. D. Tonchev, *Codes*, in: *Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, Second Edition, C. J. Colbourn, J. F. Dinitz, eds., Chapman & Hall/ CRC, Boca Raton - London - New York, 2007, pp. 667 - 702.

[30] V. D. Tonchev, Quasi-symmetric designs, codes, quadrics, and hyperplane sections, *Geometria Dedicata*, **48** (1993), 295-308.

[31] V. D. Tonchev, *Combinatorial Configurations*, Wiley, New York, 1988.

[32] V. D. Tonchev, Quasi-symmetric 2-(31,7,7) designs and a revision of Hamada's conjecture, *J. Combin. Theory*, A **42** (1986), 104-110.